

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/975,565	10/11/2001	Catherine S. Levisage	55322 (71699)	7490
21874 759	90 11/05/2003		EXAMINER	
EDWARDS &	ANGELL, LLP		FUBARA, BL	ESSING M
P.O. BOX 9169				
BOSTON, MA	02209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	10
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/975,565	LEVISAGE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Blessing M. Fubara	1615			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	ugust 2003				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12, 13 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 22-43</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/975,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

, DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of declaration, drawings and request for extension of time filed 05/04/02, IDS filed 01/09/03; change of address filed 03/27/03 request for extension of time filed 04/08/03; and response to election requirement filed 04/08/03 and 08/18/03.

Election Requirement

Applicants' election is not clear in terms of the claims that read on the elected species.

However, since applicants elected paclitaxel, claims 12 (directed to peptide or polypeptide), 13

(directed to protein) and 21 are not examined and are withdrawn. Claim 15, which is directed to 5-fluorouracil is examined because the prior art teaches 5-fluorouracil. Claims 1-11 and 22-43 are thus examined.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8-11 and 22-36 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only and cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-11, 14-20 and 22-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/975,565

Art Unit: 1615

Line 3 of instant claim 1 recites dispersing a substance in a polymeric material and in the last two lines recites dispersing or encapsulating a therapeutic agent. Is the substance a therapeutic agent? Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-11, 14-20 and 22-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bru-Magniez et al. (US 6,211,273).

Bru-Magniez discloses a nanoparticles of polymeric support material network within which therapeutic agents such as taxol and 5-fluorouracil are dispersed (abstract, column 2, lines 35-50, column 5, lines 3-35 and column 6, lines 25-36). The disclosed polymer network meets the polymer structure of the instant claims. The instant method comprises administering a the polymeric composition. The prior art administers the composition orally, sublingually,

Art Unit: 1615

subcutaneously, intramuscularly, intravenously, transdermally, locally, rectally, via the

pulmonary route, or nasally; preferred forms of administration notably comprise oral forms, such

Page 4

as tablets, gelatin capsules, powders, granules and oral solutions or suspensions, sublingual and

buccal administration forms, as well as subcutaneous, intramuscular intravenous, intranasal or

intraocular and rectal administration forms (column 6, lines 43-53). It is inherent that the

administered composition comprising an anticancer drug would inherently provide the desired

effect. The teaching of Bru-Magniez meets the limitations of the claims.

6. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the

presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation is requested in correcting any

errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is 703-308-8374.

The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. (Monday to Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234.

Blessing Fubara Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600